

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,686	12/12/2003	Young Hwa Kim	H49.12-0013	1211
27367	7590 10/07/2005		EXAMINER	
WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.			PIERCE, JEREMY R	
	· INTERNATIONAL CE AVENUE SOUTH	ENTRE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOI	LIS, MN 55402-3319		1771	. **

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/734,686	KIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INC DATE of the	Jeremy R. Pierce	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	!SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Exterisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNITY CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lation. Ty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)[3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of 	This action is non-final. allowance except for formal mat	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-43 are subject to restriction a	vithdrawn from consideration. and/or election requirement.	. ``	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		-	
Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date S Patent and Trademark Office.	948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15 	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/734,686 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-36 and 39-43, drawn to a fabric assembly, classified in class
 428, subclass 911.
- II. Claims 37 and 38, drawn to a method of making a fabric assembly, classified in class 156, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the claimed process from claims 37 and 38 can be used to make plates having a uniform thickness that is greater than the claimed thickness in independent claim 1.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 10/734,686

Art Unit: 1771

First, the plates being identical (claim 5) and non-identical (claim 13) are separate species.

Second, an election must be made between whether the plates are polymeric or ceramic.

Third, an election must be made between whether the flexible substrate is woven, knitted, or nonwoven.

5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-4, 6-12, and 26-39 generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 10/734,686 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy R. Pierce whose telephone number is (571) 272-1479. The examiner can normally be reached on normal business hours, but works flextime hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeremy R. Pierce September 28, 2005

ELIZABETH M. COLE